Case 3:15-cr-00444-CRB Document 16 Filed 09/17/15 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. CR 15 44W	I CRB
Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUI) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL A	
Kevin Kelley)	
Defendant.))	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the recording the Speedy Trial Act from <u>Sep. 17</u> , 2015 to by the continuance outweigh the best interest of 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court makes this finding an	the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.	See 18 U.S.C. §
Failure to grant a continuance wo See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ould be likely to result in a miscarriage of justi	ice.
defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to each	plex, due to [check applicable reasons] the prosecution, or the existence of novexpect adequate preparation for pretrial proced lished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(1)	el questions of fact edings or the trial
	ould deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(
	ould unreasonably deny the defendant continuon commitments, taking into account the exercise).	• •
	ould unreasonably deny the defendant the reas n, taking into account the exercise of due dili).	
IT IS SO ORDERED.		SEP 172015
DATED: 9/17/11	SALLIE KIM United States Magistrate Judge	SUSAN Y. SOONG CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney	